

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <div style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</div> <div style="text-align: center;">vs.</div> CARLOS SANCHEZ and SHEILA FOX, <div style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</div>)))))))))))	8:05CR421 ORDER
---	---	--

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Sheila Fox (Fox) (Filing No. 22). Fox seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for March 13, 2006. Fox's counsel represents that counsel for the government has no objection to the motion. Fox's counsel represents that Fox will submit an affidavit in accordance with paragraph 9 of the progression order whereby Fox consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted, and trial will be continued as to both defendants.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Fox's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 22) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **April 10, 2006**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **March 8, 2006 and April 10, 2006**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 8th day of March, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge